

Reachability and observability

For a time invariant system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$W(t_0, t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-s)} B B^T e^{A^T(t_1-s)} ds$$

$$\text{Let } P = [B \ AB \ \dots \ A^{n-1}B]$$

We have shown $\text{Im } P = \text{Im } W$

\Rightarrow reachable iff $\text{Im } P = \mathbb{R}^n$

denote $R = \text{Im } P$ - reachable subspace.

Recall that x_1 at t_1 can be reached from x_0 at t_0

$$\text{iff } d = x_1 - e^{A(t_1-t_0)}x_0 \in \text{Im } W = \text{Im } P := R$$

Lemma: R is A -invariant, i.e. $\forall x_0 \in R$
 $Ax_0 \in R$.

$$\text{Proof: } x_0 \in R = \text{Im } P \Rightarrow$$

$$x_0 = [B \ AB \ \dots \ A^{n-1}B]a$$

$$\Rightarrow Ax_0 = [AB \ A^2B \ \dots \ A^nB]a \in \text{Im } P$$

$$\text{By Cayley-Hamilton, } A^nB = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i A^i B$$

We use $AR \subset R$ to denote this.

$$\Rightarrow A^2R \subset R$$

$$\Rightarrow A^k R \subset R, \quad k=0, 1, \dots, \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{At}x_0 \in R \quad \forall x_0 \in R, \forall t \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{e^{At}R}_{\subseteq R} \subseteq R$$

$$\Rightarrow d = \underbrace{x_1 - e^{A\varepsilon}x_0}_{\in R} \quad \underline{\varepsilon = t_1 - t_0}$$

Thm: For any $x_0 \in R$ and any $x_1 \in R$
 $\exists u(t)$, s.t. $x(\varepsilon) = x_1$, while $x(0) = x_0$
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

Proof: Since $e^{A\varepsilon}x_0 \in R \quad \forall x_0 \in R$,
and $x_1 \in R$,

$$\Rightarrow d = \underbrace{x_1 - e^{A\varepsilon}x_0}_{\in R} \in R \quad (\text{Im } P = \text{Im } W)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= (x_1^R + x_1^{\bar{R}}) - e^{A\varepsilon}(x_0^R + x_0^{\bar{R}}) \\ &= \underbrace{x_1^R - e^{A\varepsilon}x_0^R}_{0} + \underbrace{x_1^{\bar{R}} - e^{A\varepsilon}x_0^{\bar{R}}}_{0} \in R \end{aligned}$$

State decomposition based on R :

$$\text{Consider } \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$R = \text{Im}[B \cdots A^{n-1}B]$$

$$\Rightarrow R^n = R + V$$

$$x = T \tilde{x} = T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u$$

$x_1 \sim R$, and $[A_{11}, B_1]$ is reachable
 i.e. $[B_1, A_{11}B_1, \dots, A_{11}^n B_1]$ has full rank

$$\bar{n} = \dim R - 1.$$

The reason $A_{21} = 0$ is that R is A -invariant.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in R \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{21} = 0.$$

We can form T_{x_1} as:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_k & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

where v_1, \dots, v_k are basis vectors for R .

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{A} = T^{-1}AT, \quad \tilde{B} = T^{-1}B$$

Observability

Consider

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)u(t) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$y = C(t)x + D(t)u(t) \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

We assume $u(t)$ is known $p < n$

Recall $X(t) = \bar{\Phi}(t, t_0)X_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \bar{\Phi}(t, s)B(s)u(s)ds$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Y(t)} = C(t)X(t)$$

$$= C(t)\bar{\Phi}(t, t_0)X_0 + \int_{t_0}^t C(t)\bar{\Phi}(t, s)B(s)u(s)ds$$

$$+ \underline{D(t)U(t)}$$

$$\Rightarrow (*) \underbrace{C(t)\bar{\Phi}(t, t_0)X_0}_{\text{pxn}} = V(t) - \text{known}$$

$$t \in [t_0, t_1]$$

Under what conditions can we determine X_0 uniquely?

We reexpress (*) in more general form:

$$w(t)X_0 = v(t) \quad t \in [t_0, t_1]$$

Recall for $W X_0 = v_p \quad W \text{ pxn}$

\exists a unique solution (if solution exists)

i-1, iff W has full column rank!

$\boxed{\boxed{}} \text{ Skew matrix}$

Proposition: $w(t)X_0 = v(t)$, where
 $w = [w_1(t), \dots, w_n(t)]$

has unique solution iff $w_1(t), \dots, w_n(t)$

are linearly independent on $[t_0, t_1]$, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \omega_i(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1] \Leftrightarrow c_i = 0, i=1, \dots, n.$$

Proof: "if": assume x_1, x_2 are solutions

$$\therefore \text{e. } w(t)x_1 = v(t) = w(t)x_2, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1]$$

$$\Rightarrow w(t)(x_1 - x_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$$

"only if": if the solution is unique,

$$\text{then } w(t)a = 0 \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a = 0$$

$$\text{otherwise } w(t)(x_0 + a) = w(t)x_0 = v(t)$$

$\Rightarrow x_0 + a$ is also a solution.

Recall that $f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)$ are linearly independent

iff $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \begin{bmatrix} f_1(s) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(s) \end{bmatrix} [f_1^T \cdots f_n^T] ds$ is nonsingular

Now we go back to

$$C(t) \underline{\Phi}(t, t_0) x_0 = v(t)$$

\Rightarrow the solution is unique iff columns of
 $C(t) \underline{\Phi}(t, t_0)$ are linearly independent
i.e.

$$M(t_0, t_1) := \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \bar{\Phi}(s, t_0) C^T(s) C(s) \bar{\Phi}(s, t_0) ds$$

\Rightarrow nonsingular (positive definite)

— Observability Gramian

Theorem: the system is observable iff
 $M(t_0, t_1)$ is nonsingular.

Proof: "if"

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Phi}(t, t_0) C^T(t) C(t) \bar{\Phi}(t, t_0) x_0 = \bar{\Phi}(t, t_0) C^T(t) v(t) \\ \Rightarrow & \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \bar{\Phi}^T C^T C \bar{\Phi} ds}_{M} x_0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \bar{\Phi}^T C^T v ds \\ \Rightarrow & x_0 = M(t_0, t_1)^{-1} \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \bar{\Phi}(s, t_0) C^T(s) v(s) ds}_{\text{ }} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: If $M(t_0, t_1)$ is singular, for any given $v(t)$, the two initial states x_0^1, x_0^2 will produce the same output $y(t)$ iff
 $x_0^1 - x_0^2 \in \ker M(t_0, t_1)$

$$\therefore \text{e. } M(t_0, t_1)(x_0^1 - x_0^2) = 0 .$$

$$\text{or } M(t_0, t_1)x_0^1 = M(t_0, t_1)x_0^2$$